

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HERMAN TAMRAT,
Plaintiff,

v.

SEAN REID, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [20-cv-01324-PJH](#)

ORDER

Re: Dkt. Nos. 42, 45

Plaintiff, a state prisoner, proceeds with a pro se civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendant Reid filed a motion for summary judgment and plaintiff filed an opposition. However, plaintiff has also filed a motion to compel in order to obtain more documents to file an additional opposition.

The court previously informed plaintiff that if he filed a motion to compel he must describe which specific discovery requests he seeks to compel, why defendant's responses are insufficient and why he is entitled to the discovery. Docket No. 39 at 2. He was also informed that he must meet and confer with defendant. *Id.* at 1-2. Plaintiff filed a motion to compel but failed to meet and confer with defendant. In addition, plaintiff only states that defendant failed to produce several documents. He fails to present any arguments why defendant's responses were insufficient and why he is entitled to this discovery.

Plaintiff's motion to compel is denied without prejudice. Plaintiff must first meet and confer with defendant regarding the discovery requests. If plaintiff chooses to file an amended motion to compel, he must describe which specific discovery requests he seeks to compel, why defendant's responses are insufficient and why he is entitled to the

1 discovery.

2 For the foregoing reasons:

3 1. The motion to compel (Docket No. 42) is **DENIED** without prejudice. Plaintiff
4 must meet confer with defendant and any amended motion to compel must be filed by
5 **September 21, 2021**.

6 2. Plaintiff's motion for an extension (Docket No. 45) is **DENIED** as moot.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8 Dated: August 11, 2021

9
10 /s/ Phyllis J. Hamilton

11 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
Northern District of California